

Below is a sampling of lawsuits resulting from injuries that were attributed to wearing sandals:

*Blain v. Cigna Corporation*, 2003-Ohio-4022 (Ohio App. Dist.10 2003); *Mazurek v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc.*, 303 A.D.2d 960, 757 N.Y.S.2d 425 (N.Y. App. 2003); *Crichfield v. Grand Wailea Company*, 93 Haw. 477, 6 P.3d 349 (Haw. 2000); *Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Garcia*, 30 S.W.3d 19, 30 S.W.3d 19 (Tex.App. 2000); *Oliva v. Winn-Dixie Louisiana, Inc.*, 756 So.2d 444 (La.App. 2000); *Wiegman v. Hitch-Inn Post of Libertyville, Inc.*, 308 Ill.App.3d 789, 721 N.E.2d 614, 242 Ill.Dec. 335 (Ill.App. 1999); *Boyer v. Circle K Corp.*, No. 38298-5-I (Wash.App.Div.1 1997); *Tanner v. Brookshire Grocery Company*, No. 29276-CA (La.App.2 Cir. 1997); *Lachico v. First National Bank Shares*, No. 95-CA-2124 (La.App.1 Cir. 1996); *Edwards v. K & B Incorporated, Inc.*, 641 So. 2d 1040 (La. App. 2 Cir. 1994); *Daugherty v. Cross Marine, Inc.*, 598 So. 2d 595 (La. App. 4 Cir. 1992); *Heeg v. Jewel Companies*, 596 N.E.2d 765, 232 Ill.App. 3d 75, 173 Ill.Dec. 141 (Ill.App. Dist.1 1992); *Hobson v. Kroger Company*, 419 S.E.2d 492, 204 Ga. App. 417 (Ga.App. 1992); *Crandell v. Winn-Dixie Louisiana, Inc.*, 580 So. 2d 967 (La. App. 5 Cir. 1991); *Wheat v. State Farm*, 583 So. 2d 1 (La. App. 1 Cir. 1991); *Jones v. Tsoukalas*, No. 88C-AU-26 (Del.Supr. 1990); *Weldon v. Hawkins*, 539 N.E.2d 229, 183 Ill. App. 3d 525 (Ill.App. 1989); *Holguin v. Smith's Food King Properties Inc.*, 105 N.M. 737, 737 P.2d 96 (N.M.App. 1987); *Santini v. Consolidated Rail*, 505 N.E.2d 832 (Ind.App. 1987); *Atkinson v. Kirchoff Enterprises*, 351 S.E.2d 477, 181 Ga. App. 139 (Ga.App. 1986); *Bordelon v. Southern Louisiana Health*, 467 So. 2d 167 (La.App. 3 Cir. 1985); *Bell v. Westinghouse Electric*, 483 A.2d 324 (D.C.App. 1984); *Robinson v. Safeway Stores*, 655 S.W.2d 617 (Mo.App. 1983); *Buckel v. Maison Blanche Corporation*, 379 So. 2d 849 (La.App.4 Cir. 1980); *Friedrich v. Department of Transportation*, 586 P.2d 1037, 60 Haw. 32 (Haw. 1978); *Repaskey v. Chicago Transit Auth.*, 9 Ill. App.3d 897, 293 N.E.2d 440 (Ill.App. Dist.1 1973); *Daben Realty Co. v. Stewart*, 290 N.E.2d 809, 155 Ind. App. 39 (Ind.App. 1972); *Family Dollar Stores v. Brown*, 181 S.E.2d 100, 123 Ga. App. 359 (Ga.App. 1971); *Cannamore v. Bi-State Development Agency*, 469 S.W.2d 664 (Mo.App. 1971); *Friend v. Gem International*, 476 S.W.2d 134 (Mo.App. 1971); *Torrence v. Sacred Heart Hospital*, 251 So. 2d 899 (Fla.App.Dist.1 1971); *Hiner v. Hubbard*, 240 Cal. App. 2d 63, 49 Cal. Rptr. 157 (Cal.App.Dist.1 1966); *Chambers v. City and County of Honolulu*, 406 P.2d 380, 48 Haw. 539 (Haw. 1965); *Overby v. Union Laundry Co.*, 100 A.2d 205, 28 N.J.Super. 100 (N.J.Super.App.Div. 1953).

Below are all lawsuits I could find with injuries to barefooted patrons in shops or stores:

*Anderson v. Racetrac Petroleum, Inc.*, 296 S.C. 204, 371 S.E.2d 530 (S.Car. 1988); *Green v. Kimbell*, 647 S.W.2d 110 (Tex.App.Dist.2 1983); *Dr. Pepper Bottling Co. of Newport v. Whidden*, 227 Ark. 13, 296 S.W.2d 432 (Ark. 1956).

If you are claiming that you are banning bare feet because of so-called safety or liability concerns, why are you not also banning sandals?