

Below is a sampling of lawsuits resulting from injuries that were attributed to wearing high-heels or platform shoes:

*Lovell v. Hawks*, Lorain App. No. 99CA007425 (Lorain Cty., Ohio, 2000); *Robinson v. Martin Chevrolet, Inc.*, Trumbull App. No. 98-T-0070 (Trumbull Cty., Ohio, 1999); *Jones v. Hyatt Corporation of Del.*, 681 So.2d 381 (La.App.4 Cir. 1995/1996); *Choyce v. Sisters of the Incarnate Word*, 642 So. 2d 287 (La.App.Cir.2 1994); *Mills v. MMM Carpets, Inc.*, 1 Cal. App. 4th 83, 1 Cal. Rptr. 2d 813 (Cal.App.Dist.6 1991); *Christine Burns v. Schnuck Markets*, 719 S.W.2d 499 (Mo.App.Div.3 1986); *Brown v. McDonald's Corp.*, 428 So. 2d 560 (La. App. 4 Cir. 1983); *Johnson v. City of Chicago*, 431 N.E.2d 1105, 103 Ill. App.3d 646 (Ill.App.1 1981); *Ryan v. City of Chicago*, 329 N.E.2d 305, 28 Ill. App.3d 743 (Ill.App. Dist.1 1975); *Tolman v. Wieboldt Stores, Inc.*, 73 Ill. App.2d 320, 219 N.E.2d 560 (Ill.App. Dist.1 1966); *Vegodsky v. City of Tucson*, 399 P.2d 723, 1 Ariz. App. 102 (Ariz.App.Div.2 1965); *Thompson v. Blum's*, 139 Cal. App. 2d 140, 293 P.2d 82 (Cal.App.Dist.2 1956); *Crowell-Gifford Furniture Company v. Cloutman*, 276 S.W.2d 539 (Tex.Civ.App. 1955); *Newell v. Arlington Hotel*, 221 Ark. 215, 252 S.W.2d 611 (Ark. 11/17/1952); *Blumberg v. M. & T. Inc.*, 34 Cal. 2d 226, 209 P.2d 1 (Cal. 1949); *Smith v. Chicago & N. W. Ry. Co.*, 18 N.W.2d 352, 246 Wis. 628 (Wis. 1945); *Swanson v. S. S. Kresge Co.*, 302 Ill. App. 455, 24 N.E.2d 62 (Ill.App.1 1939); *Stewart v. George B. Peck Co.*, 234 Mo. App. 864, 135 S.W.2d 405 (Mo. App. 1939); *Dooley v. Economy Store*, 109 Vt. 138, 194 A. 375 (Vt. 1937); *Pastrick v. S. S. Kresge Co.*, 192 N.E. 485, 288 Mass. 194 (Mass. 1934); *Grigsby v. Morgan & Lindsey*, 148 So. 506 (La.App. 1933); *Hellyer v. Sears, R. & Co.*, 62 App.D.C. 318, 67 F.2d 584 (D.C.App. 1933); *Richter v. L. Bamberger & Co.*, 11 N.J.Mis.R. 229, 165 A. 289 (N.J. 1933); *Hastings v. F. W. Woolworth Co.*, 189 Minn. 523, 250 N.W. 362 (Minn. 1933); *Shorkey v. Great Atlantic & P. Tea Co.*, 259 Mich. 450, 243 N.W. 257 (Mich. 1932); *Stark v. Franklin Simon & Co.*, 237 App.Div. 42, 260 N.Y.S. 691 (N.Y.App. 1932); *The May Department Stores Co. v. McBride*, 124 Ohio St. 264, 178 N.E. 12 (Ohio 1931); *Bohannon v. Leonard-Fitzpatrick-Mueller Stores Co.*, 197 N.C. 755, 150 S.E. 356 (N.C. 1929); *Leach v. S. S. Kresge Co.*, 147 A. 759 (R.I. 1929); *Brindley v. Wells*, 308 Mo. 1, 271 S.W. 48 (Mo. 1925).

Below are all lawsuits I could find with injuries to barefooted patrons in shops or stores:

*Anderson v. Racetrac Petroleum, Inc.*, 296 S.C. 204, 371 S.E.2d 530 (S.Car. 1988); *Green v. Kimbell*, 647 S.W.2d 110 (Tex.App.Dist.2 1983); *Dr. Pepper Bottling Co. of Newport v. Whidden*, 227 Ark. 13, 296 S.W.2d 432 (Ark. 1956).

If you are claiming that you are banning bare feet because of so-called safety or liability concerns, why are you not also banning high heels and platform shoes?