

Below is a sampling of lawsuits resulting from injuries that were attributed to wearing flip-flops (thong sandals):

*Estes v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, 800 So.2d 1018 (La.App.Cir.5 2001); *Frame v. Allen*, No. 01AP-698 (Ohio App.Dist 10 2001); *Klostermeier v. In & Out Mart*, No. L-00-1204 (Ohio App. Dist.6 2001); *Hagopian v. Publix Supermarkets, Inc.*, 788 So.2d 1088 (Fla.App. Dist.4 2001); *Trebing v. Fleming Companies, Inc.*, No. M1999-00473-COA-R3-CV (Tenn.App. 2000); *Dufour v. E-Z Serve Convenience Stores Inc.*, 731 So.2d 915 (La.App.Cir.5 1999); *Hartley v. Macon Bacon Tune, Inc.*, 227 Ga.App. 679, 490 S.E.2d 403 (Ga.App. 1997); *Hartley v. Macon Bacon Tune, Inc.*, 234 Ga.App. 815, 507 S.E.2d 259 (Ga.App. 1998); *Grissette V. Thomas*, 704 So.2d 1215 (La. App. 1 Cir. 1997); *Sherrell v. Food Lion*, No. 01-A-01-9607-CV-00313 (Tenn.App. 1997); *Love v. The Waterbed Sleep Shoppe*, 652 So. 2d 650 (La.App.Cir.1 1995); *Lindsey v. J. H. Harvey Co.*, 445 S.E.2d 810, 213 Ga. App. 659 (Ga.App. 1994); *Carlson v. Board of Regents*, 47 Ill. Ct. Cl. 171 (Ill.Ct.Cl. 1994); *Downen v. Sinclair Oil Corp.*, 887 P.2d 515 (Wyo. 1994); *Curties v. Hill Top Developers Inc.*, 14 Cal. App. 4th 1651, 18 Cal. Rptr. 2d 445 (Cal.App.Dist.5 1993); *Bergeron v. Southeastern La. Univ.*, 610 So.2d 986 (La.App.Cir.1 1992); *Borden v. Consumer Warehouse Foods*, 601 So. 2d 976 (Ala. 1992); *Durepo v. Adams*, 958 F.2d 1242 (Me.Super. 1992); *Stone v. K-Mart*, No. 134 (Tenn.App. 1989); *Dulaney v. Jack-in-the-Box, Inc.*, No. C14-87-00594-CV (Tex.App. 1988); *Conaway v. Roberts*, 725 S.W.2d 377 (Tex.App.Dist.13 1987); *Rodriguez v. Piggly Wiggly Southern*, 363 S.E.2d 291, 185 Ga. App. 79 (Ga.App. 1987); *Dean v. Terrebonne Parish Police*, 510 So. 2d 82 (La.App.Cir.1 1987); *Cornforth v. Borman's*, 148 Mich. App. 469, 385 N.W.2d 645 (Mich.App. 1986); *Bivalacqua v. Aube*, 493 So. 2d 209 (La.App. 1986); *Mills v. Kemper Group Insurance*, 498 So. 2d 1156 (La.App. 3 Cir. 1986); *Randall v. Feducia*, 499 So.2d 458 (La.App. 2 Cir. 1986); *Wal-Mart Stores v. White*, 476 So. 2d 614 (Ala. 1985); *Hustead v. Rose's Stores Inc.*, 74 N.C. App. 563, 328 S.E.2d 835 (N.C.App. 1985); *Smith v. Winn Dixie Stores of La.*, 389 So.2d 900 (La.App. 4 Cir. 1980); *Eddlestone v. Travelers Insurance Co.*, 230 So. 2d 463 (La.App.4 Cir. 1970); *Coleman et ux. v. DeMoss*, 246 N.E.2d 483, 144 Ind. App. 408 (Ind.App. 1969); *Collins v. Kienow's Food Stores*, 251 Or. 16, 444 P.2d 546 (Ore. 1968); *Courtney v. City of Ferguson*, 401 S.W.2d 172 (Mo.App. 1966); *The Country Club of Coral Gables v. McHale*, 188 So. 2d 405 (Fla.App.Dist.3 1966).

Below are all lawsuits I could find with injuries to barefooted patrons in shops or stores:

*Anderson v. Racetrac Petroleum, Inc.*, 296 S.C. 204, 371 S.E.2d 530 (S.Car. 1988); *Green v. Kimbell*, 647 S.W.2d 110 (Tex.App.Dist.2 1983); *Dr. Pepper Bottling Co. of Newport v. Whidden*, 227 Ark. 13, 296 S.W.2d 432 (Ark. 1956).

If you are claiming that you are banning bare feet because of so-called safety or liability concerns, why are you not also banning flip-flops?