

Below is a sampling of lawsuits resulting from injuries that were attributed to wearing sandals:

*Blain v. Cigna Corporation*, 2003-Ohio-4022 (Ohio App. Dist.10 2003); *Mazurek v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc.*, 303 A.D.2d 960, 757 N.Y.S.2d 425 (N.Y. App. 2003); *Crichfield v. Grand Wailea Company*, 93 Haw. 477, 6 P.3d 349 (Haw. 2000); *Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Garcia*, 30 S.W.3d 19, 30 S.W.3d 19 (Tex.App. 2000); *Oliva v. Winn-Dixie Louisiana, Inc.*, 756 So.2d 444 (La.App. 2000); *Wiegman v. Hitch-Inn Post of Libertyville, Inc.*, 308 Ill.App.3d 789, 721 N.E.2d 614, 242 Ill.Dec. 335 (Ill.App. 1999); *Boyer v. Circle K Corp.*, No. 38298-5-I (Wash.App.Div.1 1997); *Tanner v. Brookshire Grocery Company*, No. 29276-CA (La.App.2 Cir. 1997); *Lachico v. First National Bank Shares*, No. 95-CA-2124 (La.App.1 Cir. 1996); *Edwards v. K & B Incorporated, Inc.*, 641 So. 2d 1040 (La. App. 2 Cir. 1994); *Daugherty v. Cross Marine, Inc.*, 598 So. 2d 595 (La. App. 4 Cir. 1992); *Heeg v. Jewel Companies*, 596 N.E.2d 765, 232 Ill.App. 3d 75, 173 Ill.Dec. 141 (Ill.App. Dist.1 1992); *Hobson v. Kroger Company*, 419 S.E.2d 492, 204 Ga. App. 417 (Ga.App. 1992); *Crandell v. Winn-Dixie Louisiana, Inc.*, 580 So. 2d 967 (La. App. 5 Cir. 1991); *Wheat v. State Farm*, 583 So. 2d 1 (La. App. 1 Cir. 1991); *Jones v. Tsoukalas*, No. 88C-AU-26 (Del.Supr. 1990); *Weldon v. Hawkins*, 539 N.E.2d 229, 183 Ill. App. 3d 525 (Ill.App. 1989); *Holguin v. Smith's Food King Properties Inc.*, 105 N.M. 737, 737 P.2d 96 (N.M.App. 1987); *Santini v. Consolidated Rail*, 505 N.E.2d 832 (Ind.App. 1987); *Atkinson v. Kirchoff Enterprises*, 351 S.E.2d 477, 181 Ga. App. 139 (Ga.App. 1986); *Bordelon v. Southern Louisiana Health*, 467 So. 2d 167 (La.App. 3 Cir. 1985); *Bell v. Westinghouse Electric*, 483 A.2d 324 (D.C.App. 1984); *Robinson v. Safeway Stores*, 655 S.W.2d 617 (Mo.App. 1983); *Buckel v. Maison Blanche Corporation*, 379 So. 2d 849 (La.App.4 Cir. 1980); *Friedrich v. Department of Transportation*, 586 P.2d 1037, 60 Haw. 32 (Haw. 1978); *Repaskey v. Chicago Transit Auth.*, 9 Ill. App.3d 897, 293 N.E.2d 440 (Ill.App. Dist.1 1973); *Daben Realty Co. v. Stewart*, 290 N.E.2d 809, 155 Ind. App. 39 (Ind.App. 1972); *Family Dollar Stores v. Brown*, 181 S.E.2d 100, 123 Ga. App. 359 (Ga.App. 1971); *Cannamore v. Bi-State Development Agency*, 469 S.W.2d 664 (Mo.App. 1971); *Friend v. Gem International*, 476 S.W.2d 134 (Mo.App. 1971); *Torrence v. Sacred Heart Hospital*, 251 So. 2d 899 (Fla.App.Dist.1 1971); *Hiner v. Hubbard*, 240 Cal. App. 2d 63, 49 Cal. Rptr. 157 (Cal.App.Dist.1 1966); *Chambers v. City and County of Honolulu*, 406 P.2d 380, 48 Haw. 539 (Haw. 1965); *Overby v. Union Laundry Co.*, 100 A.2d 205, 28 N.J.Super. 100 (N.J.Super.App.Div. 1953).

Below is a sampling of lawsuits resulting from injuries that were attributed to wearing high-heels or platform shoes:

*Lovell v. Hawks*, Lorain App. No. 99CA007425 (Lorain Cty., Ohio, 2000); *Robinson v. Martin Chevrolet, Inc.*, Trumbull App. No. 98-T-0070 (Trumbull Cty., Ohio, 1999); *Jones v. Hyatt Corporation of Del.*, 681 So.2d 381 (La.App.4 Cir. 1995/1996); *Choyce v. Sisters of the Incarnate Word*, 642 So. 2d 287 (La.App.Cir.2 1994); *Mills v. MMM Carpets, Inc.*, 1 Cal. App. 4th 83, 1 Cal. Rptr. 2d 813 (Cal.App.Dist.6 1991); *Christine Burns v. Schnuck Markets*, 719 S.W.2d 499 (Mo.App.Div.3 1986); *Brown v. McDonald's Corp.*, 428 So. 2d 560 (La. App. 4 Cir. 1983); *Johnson v. City of Chicago*, 431 N.E.2d 1105, 103 Ill. App.3d 646 (Ill.App.1 1981); *Ryan v. City of Chicago*, 329 N.E.2d 305, 28 Ill. App.3d 743 (Ill.App. Dist.1 1975); *Tolman v. Wieboldt Stores, Inc.*, 73 Ill. App.2d 320, 219 N.E.2d 560 (Ill.App. Dist.1 1966); *Vegodsky v. City of Tucson*, 399 P.2d 723, 1 Ariz. App. 102 (Ariz.App.Div.2 1965); *Thompson v. Blum's*, 139 Cal. App. 2d 140, 293 P.2d 82 (Cal.App.Dist.2 1956); *Crowell-Gifford Furniture Company v. Cloutman*, 276 S.W.2d 539 (Tex.Civ.App. 1955); *Newell v. Arlington Hotel*, 221 Ark. 215, 252 S.W.2d 611 (Ark. 11/17/1952); *Blumberg v. M. & T. Inc.*, 34 Cal. 2d 226, 209 P.2d 1 (Cal. 1949); *Smith v. Chicago & N. W. Ry. Co.*, 18 N.W.2d 352, 246 Wis. 628 (Wis. 1945); *Swanson v. S. S. Kresge Co.*, 302 Ill. App. 455, 24 N.E.2d 62 (Ill.App.1 1939); *Stewart v. George B. Peck Co.*, 234 Mo. App. 864, 135 S.W.2d 405 (Mo. App. 1939); *Dooley v. Economy Store*, 109 Vt. 138, 194 A. 375 (Vt. 1937); *Pastrick v. S. S. Kresge Co.*, 192 N.E. 485, 288 Mass. 194 (Mass. 1934); *Grigsby v. Morgan & Lindsey*, 148 So. 506 (La.App. 1933); *Hellyer v. Sears, R. & Co.*, 62 App.D.C. 318, 67 F.2d 584 (D.C.App. 1933); *Richter v. L. Bamberger & Co.*, 11 N.J.Mis.R. 229, 165 A. 289 (N.J. 1933); *Hastings v. F. W.*

*Woolworth Co.*, 189 Minn. 523, 250 N.W. 362 (Minn. 1933); *Shorkey v. Great Atlantic & P. Tea Co.*, 259 Mich. 450, 243 N.W. 257 (Mich. 1932); *Stark v. Franklin Simon & Co.*, 237 App.Div. 42, 260 N.Y.S. 691 (N.Y.App. 1932); *The May Department Stores Co. v. McBride*, 124 Ohio St. 264, 178 N.E. 12 (Ohio 1931); *Bohannon v. Leonard-Fitzpatrick-Mueller Stores Co.*, 197 N.C. 755, 150 S.E. 356 (N.C. 1929); *Leach v. S. S. Kresge Co.*, 147 A. 759 (R.I. 1929); *Brindley v. Wells*, 308 Mo. 1, 271 S.W. 48 (Mo. 1925).

Below is a sampling of lawsuits resulting from injuries that were attributed to wearing flip-flops (thong sandals):

*Estes v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, 800 So.2d 1018 (La.App.Cir.5 2001); *Frame v. Allen*, No. 01AP-698 (Ohio App. Dist.10 2001); *Klostermeier v. In & Out Mart*, No. L-00-1204 (Ohio App. Dist.6 2001); *Hagopian v. Publix Supermarkets, Inc.*, 788 So.2d 1088 (Fla.App. Dist.4 2001); *Trebing v. Fleming Companies, Inc.*, No. M1999-00473-COA-R3-CV (Tenn.App. 2000); *Dufour v. E-Z Serve Convenience Stores Inc.*, 731 So.2d 915 (La.App.Cir.5 1999); *Hartley v. Macon Bacon Tune, Inc.*, 227 Ga.App. 679, 490 S.E.2d 403 (Ga.App. 1997); *Hartley v. Macon Bacon Tune, Inc.*, 234 Ga.App. 815, 507 S.E.2d 259 (Ga.App. 1998); *Grissette V. Thomas*, 704 So.2d 1215 (La. App. 1 Cir. 1997); *Sherrell v. Food Lion*, No. 01-A-01-9607-CV-00313 (Tenn.App. 1997); *Love v. The Waterbed Sleep Shoppe*, 652 So. 2d 650 (La.App.Cir.1 1995); *Lindsey v. J. H. Harvey Co.*, 445 S.E.2d 810, 213 Ga. App. 659 (Ga.App. 1994); *Carlson v. Board of Regents*, 47 Ill. Ct. Cl. 171 (Ill.Ct.Cl. 1994); *Downen v. Sinclair Oil Corp.*, 887 P.2d 515 (Wyo. 1994); *Curties v. Hill Top Developers Inc.*, 14 Cal. App. 4th 1651, 18 Cal. Rptr. 2d 445 (Cal.App. Dist.5 1993); *Bergeron v. Southeastern La. Univ.*, 610 So.2d 986 (La.App.Cir.1 1992); *Borden v. Consumer Warehouse Foods*, 601 So. 2d 976 (Ala. 1992); *Durepo v. Adams*, 958 F.2d 1242 (Me.Super. 1992); *Stone v. K-Mart*, No. 134 (Tenn.App. 1989); *Dulaney v. Jack-in-the-Box, Inc.*, No. C14-87-00594-CV (Tex.App. 1988); *Conaway v. Roberts*, 725 S.W.2d 377 (Tex.App. Dist.13 1987); *Rodriguez v. Piggly Wiggly Southern*, 363 S.E.2d 291, 185 Ga. App. 79 (Ga.App. 1987); *Dean v. Terrebonne Parish Police*, 510 So. 2d 82 (La.App.Cir.1 1987); *Cornforth v. Borman's*, 148 Mich. App. 469, 385 N.W.2d 645 (Mich.App. 1986); *Bivalacqua v. Aube*, 493 So. 2d 209 (La.App. 1986); *Mills v. Kemper Group Insurance*, 498 So. 2d 1156 (La.App. 3 Cir. 1986); *Randall v. Feducia*, 499 So.2d 458 (La.App. 2 Cir. 1986); *Wal-Mart Stores v. White*, 476 So. 2d 614 (Ala. 1985); *Hustead v. Rose's Stores Inc.*, 74 N.C. App. 563, 328 S.E.2d 835 (N.C.App. 1985); *Smith v. Winn Dixie Stores of La.*, 389 So.2d 900 (La.App. 4 Cir. 1980); *Eddlestone v. Travelers Insurance Co.*, 230 So. 2d 463 (La.App.4 Cir. 1970); *Coleman et ux. v. DeMoss*, 246 N.E.2d 483, 144 Ind. App. 408 (Ind.App. 1969); *Collins v. Kienow's Food Stores*, 251 Or. 16, 444 P.2d 546 (Ore. 1968); *Courtney v. City of Ferguson*, 401 S.W.2d 172 (Mo.App. 1966); *The Country Club of Coral Gables v. McHale*, 188 So. 2d 405 (Fla.App. Dist.3 1966).

Below are all lawsuits I could find with injuries to barefooted patrons in shops or stores:

*Anderson v. Racetrac Petroleum, Inc.*, 296 S.C. 204, 371 S.E.2d 530 (S.Car. 1988); *Green v. Kimbell*, 647 S.W.2d 110 (Tex.App. Dist.2 1983); *Dr. Pepper Bottling Co. of Newport v. Whidden*, 227 Ark. 13, 296 S.W.2d 432 (Ark. 1956).

If you are claiming that you are banning bare feet because of so-called safety or liability concerns, why are you not also banning sandals, high heels, platform shoes, and flip-flops?